



TEACHERS' PRAGMATIC AWARENESS AND CLASSROOM PRACTICE

Nazmutdinova Klara

Uzbekistan State World Languages University

Klaranazmutdinova@yahoo.com

Abstract. Pragmatic competence is a fundamental aspect of language proficiency that enables individuals to use language appropriately in various social and cultural contexts. In foreign language education, teachers play a crucial role in fostering learners' pragmatic competence. However, the effectiveness of pragmatic instruction largely depends on teachers' own pragmatic awareness and their ability to incorporate pragmatic elements into classroom practice. This article examines the relationship between teachers' pragmatic awareness and classroom practice, highlighting the importance of pragmatics in language teaching.

Keywords: pragmatic awareness, classroom practice, language teaching, communicative competence, pragmatics, teacher education


Language learning extends beyond mastering grammatical structures and vocabulary. Effective communication requires the ability to use language appropriately according to the social and cultural context in which interaction occurs. This ability is known as pragmatic competence, a key component of communicative competence. Learners who possess strong grammatical knowledge may still experience communication difficulties if they lack an understanding of how language functions in real-life situations.

In many language classrooms, grammar and vocabulary receive considerable attention, while pragmatics is often neglected. As a result, students may produce grammatically correct utterances that sound inappropriate, impolite, or unnatural. Teachers are therefore responsible not only for teaching linguistic forms but also for helping learners understand how language is used in authentic communication.

Teachers' pragmatic awareness significantly affects their classroom practices. Educators who understand pragmatic principles are more likely to provide meaningful communicative activities, explain sociocultural aspects of language use, and prepare learners for real-world interactions. This article explores the concept of pragmatic awareness and its impact on classroom teaching.

Pragmatic Awareness in Language Teaching. Pragmatic awareness refers to the ability to recognize and interpret the relationship between language forms and their communicative functions in specific contexts. It involves understanding how meaning changes according to factors such as social status, age, cultural background, and interpersonal relationships.

For example, the expressions "Open the window," "Could you open the window, please?" and "Would you mind opening the window?" convey the same request but differ in



politeness and appropriateness. A pragmatically aware teacher can explain these differences and help students choose suitable expressions for various situations.

Pragmatic awareness also includes knowledge of speech acts such as requests, apologies, compliments, refusals, and invitations. Since these speech acts vary across cultures, teachers need to help learners understand not only what to say but also how and when to say it.

The Role of Teachers in Developing Pragmatic Competence. Teachers serve as mediators between learners and the target language community. Their understanding of pragmatics influences the learning opportunities they create in the classroom.

One important role of teachers is to provide explicit instruction on pragmatic features. Research has shown that learners benefit when teachers directly explain politeness strategies, conversational norms, and cultural expectations. Explicit instruction helps learners notice pragmatic patterns that may otherwise remain unnoticed.

Teachers also support pragmatic development by exposing students to authentic language use. Through videos, films, podcasts, and real-life conversations, learners can observe how native speakers perform speech acts and manage social interactions. Authentic materials provide valuable examples of language in context.

In addition, teachers encourage pragmatic competence through communicative activities such as role-plays, simulations, discussions, and group work. These activities allow students to practice language in realistic situations and develop awareness of appropriate language choices.


Teachers' Pragmatic Awareness and Classroom Practice. Teachers with high levels of pragmatic awareness tend to integrate pragmatics more effectively into their lessons. Their classroom practices often emphasize communication, interaction, and contextualized language use.

First, such teachers pay attention to the social dimensions of language. Rather than focusing exclusively on grammatical accuracy, they encourage learners to consider factors such as politeness, formality, and cultural appropriateness. This approach helps students understand that communication involves more than producing correct sentences.

Second, pragmatically aware teachers provide feedback not only on linguistic errors but also on pragmatic appropriateness. For instance, if a learner makes an overly direct request to a professor, the teacher may suggest a more polite alternative and explain the social reasons behind the change.

Third, these teachers often use authentic examples from everyday communication. They analyze conversations, online interactions, and media texts to demonstrate how language varies across contexts. Such practices help bridge the gap between classroom learning and real-world communication.

Finally, teachers with strong pragmatic awareness promote intercultural competence. They encourage learners to compare communication styles across cultures and reflect on differences in politeness, directness, and social expectations. This process helps students become more effective communicators in multicultural environments.



The relationship between teachers' pragmatic awareness and classroom practice highlights the importance of teacher preparation. Teachers who understand pragmatic principles are better equipped to create communicative learning environments and support learners' social and cultural understanding of language.

Research suggests that professional development programs can significantly improve teachers' pragmatic knowledge. Workshops, training courses, and reflective teaching practices enable educators to explore pragmatic concepts and develop effective instructional strategies. As teachers become more aware of pragmatic issues, they are more likely to integrate them into everyday classroom activities.

Furthermore, increased pragmatic awareness contributes to learners' communicative competence. Students who receive pragmatic instruction are generally better able to interpret intentions, manage social interactions, and avoid communication breakdowns. Therefore, investing in teacher development ultimately benefits learners' language proficiency and intercultural communication skills.

Conclusion. Pragmatic awareness is an essential component of effective language teaching. Teachers who possess strong pragmatic knowledge are better able to help learners understand how language functions in social and cultural contexts. Their classroom practices promote communicative competence by integrating authentic communication, explicit instruction, and intercultural awareness. Although challenges such as limited training, insufficient materials, and time constraints may hinder pragmatic instruction, these obstacles can be addressed through teacher education and professional development. By strengthening teachers' pragmatic awareness, educational institutions can improve classroom practice and enhance learners' ability to communicate effectively in the target language.

References

1. Bardovi-Harlig, K. (2001). Evaluating the empirical evidence: Grounds for instruction in pragmatics? In K. Rose & G. Kasper (Eds.), *Pragmatics in language teaching* (pp. 13–32). Cambridge University Press.
2. Canale, M., & Swain, M. (1980). The theoretical bases of communicative approaches to second language teaching and testing. *Applied Linguistics*, 1(1), 1–47.
3. Kasper, G., & Rose, K. R. (2002). *Pragmatic development in a second language*. Blackwell Publishing.
4. Taguchi, N. (2015). Instructed pragmatics at a glance: Where instructional studies were, are, and should be going. *Language Teaching*, 48(1), 1–50.
5. Thomas, J. (1983). Cross-cultural pragmatic failure. *Applied Linguistics*, 4(2), 91–112.