



DIALECT OR DISTANCE? WHY “STANDARD ENGLISH” IS A SOCIAL CONSTRUCT

Kuchmurodova Gulnora Xatamovna

*Senior teacher, English Department
University of Innovation Technologies*

Usupova Madina

*English Department, First year student
University of Innovation Technologies*


Abstract: The concept of “Standard English” is often perceived as a fixed and superior form of the English language. However, linguistic research shows that it is not a naturally superior variety but rather a socially constructed norm shaped by historical, political, and cultural forces. This article explores the idea that the distinction between “dialect” and “standard” is not purely linguistic but deeply influenced by power, identity, and social perception.

Keyword: Standard English, Dialect, Sociolinguistics, Language Variation, Social Construct, Linguistic Identity, Language and Power, Language Diversity, Language Ideology, Speech Communities, Introduction

Uzb: “Standart ingliz tili” tushunchasi ko‘pincha ingliz tilining qat‘iy va ustun shakli sifatida qabul qilinadi. Biroq, lingvistik tadqiqotlar shuni ko‘rsatadiki, u tabiatan ustun bo‘lgan variant emas, balki tarixiy, siyosiy va madaniy omillar ta‘sirida shakllangan ijtimoiy konstruksiyadir. Ushbu maqola “dialekt” va “standart” o‘rtasidagi farq faqat lingvistik emas, balki hokimiyat, shaxsiylik va ijtimoiy qarashlar bilan ham chambarchas bog‘liqligini yoritadi.

Language is not only a means of communication but also a reflection of society. Among the many varieties of English spoken worldwide, one form is often labeled as “Standard English,” considered correct, formal, and prestigious. At the same time, other varieties are described as dialects, often viewed as less proper or even incorrect. This raises an important question: is Standard English linguistically superior, or is it simply a product of social agreement?

The Nature of Dialects. All languages naturally develop variations known as dialects. These differences can appear in pronunciation, vocabulary, and grammar. For example, British English, American English, and Australian English all have unique features, yet none of them is inherently more “correct” than the others. Linguistically, every dialect follows its own systematic rules. What may seem like “incorrect grammar” in one dialect is often perfectly consistent within its own system. Therefore, from a scientific perspective, no dialect is inferior to another.



The Emergence of Standard English Standard English did not emerge because it was linguistically better. Instead, it developed due to historical and social factors. In England, the dialect spoken in London and surrounding areas gained prominence because it was the center of political power, trade, and education. With the invention of the printing press and the expansion of formal education, this variety became standardized in writing and teaching. Over time, it was promoted as the “correct” form of English, while other dialects were marginalized.

Language and Power. The idea of Standard English is closely connected to power and authority. Institutions such as schools, governments, and media promote a particular variety of language as the norm. As a result, people who speak this variety are often perceived as more educated or professional. On the other hand, speakers of regional or social dialects may face discrimination or negative stereotypes. This shows that the distinction between “standard” and “non-standard” is not purely linguistic but social and political.

Social Identity and Language. Language plays a crucial role in shaping identity. Dialects often reflect a speaker’s cultural background, region, and community. For many people, using their dialect is a way of expressing belonging and authenticity. Labeling one variety as “standard” can create pressure to abandon local speech forms in favor of a more prestigious one. This can lead to the loss of linguistic diversity and cultural identity.

Rethinking “Correctness”. The concept of correctness in language is often misunderstood. While Standard English is useful in formal contexts such as education and international communication, it should not be seen as the only valid form of English. Instead, it is more accurate to view Standard English as one variety among many, chosen for practical reasons rather than inherent superiority.

Conclusion. “Standard English” is not a naturally superior form of language but a social construct shaped by history, power, and cultural influence. All dialects are linguistically valid and meaningful. Recognizing this helps promote linguistic diversity and reduces prejudice against non-standard varieties. Understanding the social nature of Standard English encourages a more inclusive view of language—one that values communication, identity, and diversity over rigid definitions of correctness.

References:

I-Peter Trudgill (2000). *Sociolinguistics: An Introduction to Language and Society*. London: Penguin Books.

J-William Labov (1972). *Sociolinguistic Patterns*. Philadelphia: University of Pennsylvania Press.

K-John Honey (1997). *Language is Power: The Story of Standard English and Its Enemies*. London: Faber & Faber.

L-David Crystal (2003). *The Cambridge Encyclopedia of the English Language*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.



M-James Milroy & Lesley Milroy (1999). Authority in Language: Investigating Standard English. London: Routledge.

N-British Council. (n.d.). What is Standard English?

O-Cambridge University Press. (n.d.). English Grammar and Usage Resources.

P-Wikipedia. (n.d.). Standard English. Available at:
https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Standard_English

