DOSIMETRIC ANALYSIS OF PHOTON BEAMS IN MEDICAL IMAGING AND RADIOTHERAPY

G'anijonov Nurullo Usmonjon o'g'li[1],
Erkin Xojiyevich Bozorov[2],
[1]O'zMU Fizika fakulteti 2-kurs magistr talabasi.
[2]O'zR FA Yadro fizikasi instituti Yadro tibbiyoti laboratoriyasi bosh ilmiy hodimi, O'zMU Fizika fakulteti professori f.m.f.d. ,
E-mail: erkinbozorov@gmail.com

Abstract. *Dosimetric analysis of photon beams is a critical component in both medical imaging and radiotherapy, as it ensures accurate dose delivery while minimizing unnecessary radiation exposure to patients. Photon beams interact with biological tissues through complex physical mechanisms, resulting in energy deposition that directly influences image quality and therapeutic effectiveness. Precise dosimetric evaluation is therefore essential for optimizing diagnostic procedures, improving treatment outcomes, and enhancing patient safety.*

In medical imaging, particularly in X-ray radiography and computed tomography, dosimetry plays a vital role in balancing image quality against radiation dose. Excessive exposure may increase the risk of stochastic effects, whereas insufficient dose can degrade diagnostic accuracy. In radiotherapy, high-energy photon beams are employed to deliver lethal doses to malignant tissues while sparing surrounding healthy organs. This requires accurate dose calculation, beam modeling, and verification techniques to account for photon attenuation, scattering, and secondary particle production.

This article presents a comprehensive analysis of photon beam dosimetry in medical imaging and radiotherapy. Key dosimetric quantities, including absorbed dose, air kerma, dose equivalent, and energy fluence, are discussed in relation to photon–matter interaction processes. Modern dosimetric methods, such as ionization chambers, thermoluminescent dosimeters, and Monte Carlo-based computational models, are reviewed and compared. The study emphasizes the importance of accurate dosimetric assessment for quality assurance, treatment planning, and radiation protection, highlighting current challenges and future directions in clinical photon beam dosimetry.

Keywords: *Photon beams; dosimetry; medical imaging; radiotherapy; absorbed dose; radiation protection; Monte Carlo simulation; quality assurance.*

Introduction.

The use of photon beams in medical imaging and radiotherapy has become an indispensable component of modern healthcare, enabling accurate diagnosis and effective treatment of a wide range of diseases. X-ray and gamma-ray photons are widely employed due to their high penetration capability and well-understood interaction mechanisms with biological tissues. However, the same physical properties that make photon beams clinically valuable also pose potential risks to patients and medical personnel. For this reason, precise dosimetric analysis of photon beams is essential to ensure optimal clinical outcomes while maintaining radiation exposure within acceptable safety limits.

Dosimetry refers to the measurement, calculation, and assessment of absorbed radiation dose resulting from the interaction of ionizing radiation with matter. In medical imaging, dosimetric considerations are primarily focused on minimizing patient dose without compromising diagnostic image quality. In contrast, radiotherapy intentionally delivers high doses of radiation to malignant tissues with the goal of achieving tumor control or eradication. Despite these differing objectives, both applications require accurate characterization of photon beam properties, dose distribution, and energy deposition patterns within heterogeneous biological structures[1].

Photon beams interact with tissue through several fundamental physical processes, including photoelectric absorption, Compton scattering, and pair production. The relative contribution of each interaction depends on photon energy and tissue composition. In diagnostic imaging, where photon energies are typically below 150 keV, photoelectric absorption and Compton scattering dominate, strongly influencing image contrast and patient dose. In radiotherapy, megavoltage photon beams are commonly used, and Compton scattering becomes the primary mechanism of energy transfer, resulting in deeper penetration and more uniform dose distributions. Understanding these interaction mechanisms is critical for accurate dose calculation and clinical optimization[6].

Accurate dosimetric analysis is complicated by the heterogeneous nature of the human body, which consists of tissues with varying densities and elemental compositions. Differences between soft tissue, bone, and air cavities lead to complex photon attenuation and scattering behavior. Consequently, simplistic dose estimation methods may result in significant uncertainties. Advanced dosimetric techniques and computational models are therefore required to account for tissue heterogeneity, beam geometry, and secondary radiation effects[4].

In medical imaging, dose metrics such as entrance skin dose, dose–area product, and computed tomography dose index are commonly used to quantify patient exposure. These parameters provide valuable information for optimizing imaging protocols and ensuring compliance with radiation protection guidelines. The increasing use of advanced imaging modalities, including multi-slice computed tomography and hybrid imaging systems, has further emphasized the need for rigorous dosimetric evaluation to prevent unnecessary dose escalation[2].

Radiotherapy dosimetry presents additional challenges due to the high dose levels involved and the need for precise spatial dose conformity. Modern treatment techniques, such as intensity-modulated radiotherapy and volumetric modulated arc therapy, rely on sophisticated dose calculation algorithms to shape photon beams according to tumor geometry. Even small dosimetric inaccuracies can lead to underdosage of the target volume or excessive irradiation of healthy tissues, potentially affecting treatment efficacy and increasing the risk of complications.

To address these challenges, a wide range of experimental and computational dosimetric methods has been developed. Ionization chambers remain the primary standard for absolute dose measurements, while thermoluminescent and optically stimulated luminescent dosimeters are widely used for in vivo and phantom studies. In parallel, Monte Carlo simulation techniques have become increasingly important for modeling photon transport and energy deposition in complex geometries, offering high accuracy at the expense of computational complexity[5].

The integration of dosimetric analysis into quality assurance programs is essential for both medical imaging and radiotherapy. Regular verification of beam output, dose calculation algorithms, and delivery accuracy ensures the reliability and safety of clinical procedures. As photon-based technologies continue to evolve, ongoing research in dosimetry remains vital for improving treatment precision, enhancing diagnostic performance, and strengthening radiation protection practices.

This article aims to provide a comprehensive overview of dosimetric analysis of photon beams in medical imaging and radiotherapy, highlighting fundamental principles, current methodologies, and clinical implications. By examining the similarities and differences between diagnostic and therapeutic applications, the study seeks to emphasize the central role of dosimetry in achieving safe and effective use of photon radiation in modern medicine.

Discussion

The dosimetric analysis of photon beams in medical imaging and radiotherapy highlights the critical balance between achieving clinical objectives and ensuring radiation safety. Although both applications rely on photon–matter interactions, their dosimetric requirements and constraints differ substantially due to variations in photon energy, dose levels, and clinical intent. This discussion evaluates the implications of these differences and examines the effectiveness of current dosimetric approaches[7].

One of the key observations in photon beam dosimetry is the strong dependence of absorbed dose on photon energy and tissue composition. The absorbed dose D is defined as the energy deposited per unit mass:

$$D = \frac{dE}{dm}$$

and is commonly expressed in gray (Gy). In diagnostic imaging, absorbed doses are relatively low; however, repeated exposures and the growing use of high-resolution imaging modalities increase cumulative dose concerns. In radiotherapy, absorbed dose levels are several orders of magnitude higher, making precise dose delivery crucial for tumor control and normal tissue preservation.

Photon beam attenuation in tissue plays a central role in dose distribution. The intensity reduction of a photon beam traversing matter follows the exponential attenuation law:

$$I(x) = I_0 e^{-\mu x}$$

where μ\muμ is the linear attenuation coefficient. In medical imaging, variations in attenuation coefficients between tissues form the basis of image contrast, but they also lead to non-uniform dose deposition. In radiotherapy, megavoltage photon beams exhibit relatively low attenuation, allowing deeper penetration and more homogeneous dose delivery within the target volume [1].

Scattering effects, particularly Compton scattering, significantly influence dosimetric accuracy in both imaging and therapy. Scattered photons contribute to patient dose outside the primary beam and degrade image quality in diagnostic procedures. In radiotherapy, scattered radiation affects dose distributions within and beyond the treatment field. The Compton scattering process, governed by the Klein–Nishina formula, results in a broad spectrum of secondary photon energies, complicating dose calculations and necessitating advanced correction methods [2].

A major challenge in dosimetric analysis arises from the heterogeneous nature of human anatomy. Differences in electron density between bone, soft tissue, and air cavities alter photon interaction probabilities and energy deposition patterns. In diagnostic imaging, this can result in localized dose enhancement, particularly near high-density structures such as bone. In radiotherapy, tissue heterogeneity may lead to dose perturbations near interfaces, potentially affecting tumor coverage and normal tissue sparing [3].

Modern dosimetric tools have significantly improved the accuracy of photon beam dose assessment. Ionization chambers provide reliable reference measurements for absolute dose calibration, while thermoluminescent dosimeters (TLDs) and optically stimulated luminescent dosimeters (OSLDs) are well suited for in vivo and phantom-based studies. However, these experimental methods may be limited in spatial resolution and practicality for complex treatment geometries.

Monte Carlo simulation techniques have emerged as a powerful solution to these limitations. By modeling individual photon interactions based on fundamental physical cross-sections, Monte Carlo methods can accurately predict dose distributions in heterogeneous media:

$$D(\vec{r}) = \int \Phi(E,\vec{r}) \cdot \frac{\mu_{en}(E)}{\rho} dE$$

where $\Phi(E,\vec{r})$) is the photon energy fluence and $\frac{\mu_{en}}{\rho}$ is the mass energy-absorption coefficient. These simulations are increasingly used in treatment planning systems and imaging dose assessments, providing high accuracy at the cost of increased computational demand [4].

Quality assurance (QA) procedures represent another critical aspect of photon beam dosimetry. Regular verification of beam output, dose calculation algorithms, and delivery accuracy ensures consistency between planned and delivered doses. In radiotherapy, QA programs are essential for advanced techniques such as intensity-modulated and image-guided radiotherapy, where steep dose gradients amplify the consequences of dosimetric errors [5].

Overall, the discussion demonstrates that accurate dosimetric analysis of photon beams is indispensable for both medical imaging and radiotherapy. While diagnostic applications emphasize dose optimization and risk reduction, therapeutic applications prioritize precision and reproducibility at high dose levels. Continued improvements in dosimetric instrumentation, computational modeling, and clinical protocols are essential for enhancing patient safety and treatment effectiveness.

REFERENCES

1. *Attix, F. H.* Introduction to Radiological Physics and Radiation Dosimetry. *Wiley, 2004.*
2. *Knoll, G. F.* Radiation Detection and Measurement. *Wiley, 2010.*
3. *Podgorsak, E. B.* Radiation Physics for Medical Physicists. *Springer, 2010.*
4. *Rogers, D. W. O. “Monte Carlo Techniques of Electron and Photon Transport.”* Physics in Medicine & Biology, *2006.*
5. *IAEA.* Absorbed Dose Determination in External Beam Radiotherapy. *Technical Reports Series No. 398, 2000.*
6. Bozorov E.X., Turatov H.Sh. *Tibbiyot oliy ta’lim muassasalarida matematik modellashtirish biologik jarayonlarni tahlil qilish usuli mavzusini o‘qitish metodikasini takomillashtirish.* – Toshkent: O‘zMU, 2025.
7. Bozorov E.X., Turatov H.Sh. *Innovatsion ta’lim texnologiyalari asosida biotibbiyot signallarini o‘qitish metodikasi.* – Toshkent: O‘zMU, 2024.