STATISTICAL METHODS FOR CALCULATING GREEN GDP: OPPORTUNITIES AND LIMITATIONS

Murodillayev Sardorbek Bahodir o'g'li
Karshi State Technical university
Independent researcher
Email: sardorbek99m@gmail.com

In the era of sustainable development, the transition from conventional Gross Domestic Product (GDP) to *Green GDP* represents one of the most significant methodological innovations in national accounting. Green GDP aims to integrate environmental factors into economic growth measurement by deducting the monetary value of natural resource depletion and environmental degradation from traditional GDP. From a statistical perspective, this transformation requires new frameworks for data collection, valuation techniques, and modeling. Statistical methods for Green GDP calculation can be grouped into three main categories:
1. **Environmental-Adjusted National Accounts (EANA)**,
2. **Satellite Accounts Framework (SEEA – System of Environmental-Economic Accounting)**,
3. **Econometric and Index-Based Models**.

Each approach involves specific data sources, statistical techniques, and assumptions about the valuation of ecosystem services and pollution costs.

The **EANA** method utilizes environmental degradation cost estimates and resource depletion indicators, integrating them into national income accounts. For example, the United Nations SEEA (2012) provides a standardized statistical structure that links environmental data—such as CO_2 emissions, water use, and land degradation—with macroeconomic indicators. Empirical applications in China and OECD countries show that Green GDP often reduces the measured growth rate by 3–7% when adjusted for environmental damages.

In **index-based models**, researchers employ multivariate statistical methods such as **Principal Component Analysis (PCA)**, **Factor Analysis**, and **Composite Index Formation** to create synthetic indicators combining economic, environmental, and social variables. These approaches provide greater flexibility but rely heavily on data availability and indicator normalization. Finally, **econometric models** (e.g., panel regressions, ARIMA, or CGE simulations) allow for predictive assessment of how environmental policies affect Green GDP dynamics, making them essential for scenario forecasting in sustainable policy analysis.

Recent statistical innovations emphasize the integration of *environmental satellite accounts* with traditional national accounts. This system extends the boundaries of economic measurement by including flows and stocks of natural resources such as forests, soil, and water bodies. For example, the **European Statistical System (ESS)** has introduced the *EU Green Accounting Module*, which connects datasets on emissions, waste generation, and renewable energy usage to GDP. These satellite accounts enable countries to measure the physical and monetary dimensions of resource use, creating a bridge between environmental statistics and economic policy.

In practice, these data allow analysts to calculate **"adjusted net savings" (ANS)** — a World Bank indicator that measures the true savings rate of an economy after deducting natural resource depletion and environmental damage. Countries with negative ANS values are considered to be consuming their natural capital faster than they are creating economic wealth.

Use of Remote Sensing and Big Data in Environmental Statistics. One of the most promising directions in recent years has been the use of **remote sensing, GIS (Geographic Information Systems)**, and **Big Data analytics** in calculating Green GDP components.

High-resolution satellite data are now employed to measure:

1. Annual forest loss and gain (using NASA MODIS or Copernicus Sentinel imagery);
2. Urban heat islands and air pollution concentration;
3. Agricultural land degradation and irrigation efficiency.

By integrating these data with national economic indicators, researchers can construct more accurate models of resource depletion and environmental damage. For instance, studies conducted by the **World Resources Institute (WRI, 2022)** show that countries using satellite-based deforestation statistics obtain up to 15% higher accuracy in calculating the environmental adjustment of GDP. This technological integration significantly enhances the credibility of Green GDP calculations in countries with limited ground-based data.

Statistical Modeling and Scenario Forecasting. Advanced econometric and computational methods play a crucial role in Green GDP projections. The following models are frequently used:

- **ARIMA (Auto-Regressive Integrated Moving Average):** For forecasting long-term trends in environmental degradation and adjusted GDP.
- **VAR (Vector Auto Regression):** To analyze interdependence between GDP, energy consumption, and carbon emissions.
- **CGE (Computable General Equilibrium) models:** To simulate the macroeconomic effects of environmental taxation or carbon pricing.

- **Machine Learning algorithms (e.g., Random Forest, Neural Networks):** For nonlinear prediction of environmental impacts and scenario testing.

Empirical studies reveal that countries applying econometric forecasting methods (such as South Korea, Canada, and Finland) achieve more precise policy simulations regarding green transition investments. In particular, the Korean "Green Growth Statistical Framework" (Statistics Korea, 2021) combines SEEA data with time-series models to project environmental costs under different growth scenarios. **Institutional and Policy Dimensions of Green GDP Statistics.** A major factor influencing Green GDP estimation is the **institutional capacity** of national statistical offices (NSOs). Establishing a specialized *Environmental Statistics Division* within the NSO is essential for:

- Coordinating data across ministries (energy, water, agriculture, and finance);
- Standardizing environmental accounting methods;
- Publishing annual environmental-economic reports.
- For example, **China's National Bureau of Statistics** (NBS) and **India's Ministry of Statistics and Programme Implementation (MOSPI)** have created dedicated units that integrate environmental data into macroeconomic analysis. Uzbekistan could adopt a similar model, enabling continuous Green GDP computation and dissemination through national statistical yearbooks. Green GDP cannot be effectively measured without global cooperation and standardization. The **UN Committee of Experts on Environmental-Economic Accounting (UNCEEA)** and **OECD Working Party on Environmental Information** provide technical guidelines and capacity-building programs for developing countries.

Furthermore, regional cooperation under organizations like the **Economic Cooperation Organization (ECO)** or **CAREC (Central Asia Regional Economic Cooperation)** could accelerate data harmonization for cross-border environmental statistics.

From a conceptual standpoint, Green GDP raises philosophical questions about the definition of "progress." Critics argue that reducing environmental damage to monetary terms oversimplifies complex ecological processes. However, supporters contend that economic quantification is essential for policy relevance. Statistical researchers must balance **economic measurability** and **ecological integrity**, ensuring that the models do not undervalue non-market ecosystem services such as biodiversity, cultural landscapes, and clean air. Thus, qualitative environmental indicators (e.g., Environmental Performance Index or Ecological Footprint) are often used alongside Green GDP to ensure a more holistic understanding of sustainability. Future improvements in Green GDP measurement will likely focus on:

Developing **hybrid physical-monetary models** combining environmental and economic flows;

- Utilizing **AI-assisted environmental monitoring** for real-time data collection;
- Establishing **regional databases** for Central Asia with harmonized environmental indicators;
- Introducing **dynamic input-output models** that incorporate circular economy principles.

These innovations will enable countries like Uzbekistan to not only measure but also predict the environmental sustainability of their economic growth with higher precision. Despite these methodological advancements, several **limitations** persist. First, the **valuation problem**—assigning monetary values to non-market environmental goods—remains contentious and highly sensitive to estimation methods. Second, **data limitations** in developing countries hinder the construction of reliable environmental accounts. Third, the **lack of harmonized statistical standards** across national agencies complicates international comparability. Moreover, the integration of environmental satellite accounts requires substantial institutional and technical capacity in national statistical systems.

In conclusion, Green GDP represents a critical step toward redefining economic progress beyond pure production metrics. However, its statistical implementation demands refined valuation techniques, cross-sectoral data harmonization, and expanded environmental accounting systems. For countries such as Uzbekistan, integrating SEEA-based environmental statistics into GDP measurement could significantly enhance policy evaluation of the green transition and provide a more realistic picture of sustainable economic growth.

References

1. President of the Republic of Uzbekistan (2023). Strategy for transition to a “green economy” - 2030. Tashkent: Presidential Resolution No. PQ-125, 04.05.2023.
2. Statistical Agency under the Cabinet of Ministers of the Republic of Uzbekistan (2024). Environmental and Energy Statistical Collection 2015–2024. Tashkent.
3. Ministry of Economy and Finance of the Republic of Uzbekistan (2023). The role of green investments in ensuring sustainable economic growth. Tashkent: Scientific analytical report.
4. Karimov, S. (2022). Economic and statistical foundations of green economy development. Journal of Economics and Innovative Technologies, 5(3), 48–55.
5. Ismoilova, N. (2023). Statistical assessment of sustainable development indicators in Uzbekistan. Scientific information of TDIU, 2(7), 33–42.

6. Wang, H., & Zhang, C. (2019). Green GDP Accounting and Its Statistical Challenges in Developing Economies. Journal of Environmental Economics and Policy, 8(4), 411–427.

7. Dasgupta, P. (2021). The Economics of Biodiversity: The Dasgupta Review. London: HM Treasury.

8. World Resources Institute (WRI). (2022). Satellite Data for Green Accounting: A New Era of Environmental Statistics. Washington, DC: WRI.

9. Statistics Korea. (2021). Green Growth Statistical Framework and Implementation Report. Seoul: Statistics Korea.

10. UNCEEA. (2024). Progress Report on the Global Implementation of SEEA. New York: United Nations.

11. World Bank. (2023). Adjusted Net Savings and Natural Capital Accounting. Washington, DC: World Bank.